

Tech-Redefining Entertainment 文體智匯

MACAO JOINT SCHOOL

SCIENCE EXHIBITION

PREPARATION COMMITTEE

第五屆澳門聯校科學展覽籌備委員會

第五屆 澳門聯校科學展覽 計劃書設計比賽 評分標準 5th MJSSE Proposal Competition Evaluation Criteria

5 TH MACAO JOINT SCHOOL SCIENCE EXHIBITION



澳門聯校科學展覽籌備委員會 PREPARATION COMMITTEE

	評分標準	分數
1.	科學技術含量 運用較多科學技術; 所運用的科學技術難度較高; 所運用的科學原理為正確的。	满分:25分 優良:21分或以上 及格:15分或以上
2.	創新性 提出的想法是原創的; 沒有一件現存的產品能產生類似的結 果或改進一件現存的產品。	滿分: 25 分 優良: 21 分或以上 及格: 15 分或以上
3. •	實用性 提出的想法能夠解決實際的生活問題; 能夠產生積極效果; 應符合自然規律。	满分:20分 優良:17分或以上 及格:12分或以上
4.	可行性 只存在少量合理的假設; 沒有明顯的副作用; 所需資源應是現實的、合理的; 用現存的科學技術能較容易製造並使 用。	满分:15分 優良:13分或以上 及格:9分或以上
5.	相關性 提出的想法符合主題"文體智匯"。	满分:15分 優良:13分或以上 及格:9分或以上

5 TH MACAO JOINT SCHOOL SCIENCE EXHIBITION 澳門聯校科學展覽籌備委員會 PREPARATION COMMITTEE



Mark Criteria and descriptions 1. Scientific and technical content A variety of scientific knowledge and Full Mark: 25 technology can be applied; Top: 21 or above The applied technology is hardly to be Pass: 15 or above imitate: The scientific principles are accurate. 2. Creativity Full Mark: 25 The proposed idea is original; Top: 21 or above No existing products can produce similar Pass: 15 or above effects product is improved. 3. Effectiveness The idea is able to improve or solve the Full Mark: 20 living problems; Top: 17 or above The product has no negative impacts; Pass: 12 or above The product should follow the laws of nature which are not necessarily accepted. 4. Feasibility Only a few assumptions are made; Full Mark: 15 There are no significant side effects; Top: 13 or above The amount of resources is realistic and Pass: 9 or above reasonable; The product can be made easily by the existing scientific technology. ° 5. Relevance Full Mark: 15 Top: 13 or above The proposed idea is related to the topic Pass: 9 or above "Tech-Redefining Entertainment".